High Frequency of Antimicrobial Drug Resistance of Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli in Infants in Peru

Theresa J. Ochoa,* Joaquim Ruiz, Margarita Molina, Luis J. Del Valle, Martha Vargas, Ana I. Gil, Lucie Ecker, Francesca Barletta, Eric Hall, Thomas G. Cleary, and Claudio F. Lanata

Instituto de Medicina Tropical, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru; Center for Infectious Diseases, University of Texas School of Public Health, Houston, Texas; Centre de Recerca en Salut Internacional de Barcelona, Hospital Clinic/Institut d’Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer, Barcelona, Spain; Instituto de Investigación Nutricional, Lima, Peru; Departament d’Enginyeria Agroalimentària i Biotecnologia, Escola Superior d’Agricultura de Barcelona, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Castelldefels, Spain; U.S. Naval Medical Research Center, Lima, Peru; Escuela de Medicina, Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas, Lima, Peru

Abstract. In a prospective passive diarrhea surveillance cohort study of 1,034 infants of low socioeconomic communities in Lima, Peru, we determined the prevalence and antimicrobial drug susceptibility of the diarrheagenic Escherichia coli. The prevalence of diarrheagenic E. coli was 29% (161 of 557) in children with gastroenteritis and 30% (58 of 195) in the control group without diarrhea. The most common E. coli pathogens in diarrhea were enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC) (14%), enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC) (7%), diffusely adherent E. coli (DAEC) (4%), and enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) (4%). Diarrheagenic E. coli as a group exhibited high levels of antimicrobial drug resistance in diarrheal cases to ampicillin (85%), cotrimoxazole (79%), tetracycline (65%), and nalidixic acid (28%). Among individual E. coli groups in patients with diarrhea, DAEC and EAEC exhibited significant higher frequencies of resistance to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, tetracycline and nalidixic acid than EPEC and ETEC. Antimicrobial drug resistance to ampicillin and cotrimoxazole were more frequent in E. coli isolated from diarrheal samples than controls, which reflected greater antibiotic exposure in patients with gastroenteritis.

INTRODUCTION

Diarrheal illness remains one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide, despite ongoing progress in our basic understanding of its epidemiology, pathogenesis, and treatment. In developing areas, infectious diarrhea is a major cause of childhood mortality; there are an estimated 2 million deaths/year among children globally.1 Beyond this, however, are its under-recognized long-term effects, which include permanent shortfalls in physical and cognitive development attributable to early repeated childhood diarrheal episodes and to enteric parasitic infections.2–4 The longitudinal prevalence of diarrhea seems to be a stronger predictor of the long-term health effects of diarrhea than the incidence rates.5 Therefore, the duration of the diarrheal episodes in small children may be critical.

Diarrheagenic Escherichia coli are major causes of gastroenteritis in children in the developing world and are associated with high resistance levels to antibiotics.6–11 Escherichia coli associated with diarrhea have been classified into six groups based on clinical, epidemiologic, and molecular criteria;12 enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC); enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC); Shiga toxin–producing E. coli (STEC), also known as enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) or verotoxin-producing E. coli (VTEC); 4 enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC); enteraggregative E. coli (EAEC or EAggEC); and diffusely adherent E. coli (DAEC). The course of illness with many of these pathogens might be ameliorated by specific antimicrobial drug therapy. However, specific etiologic diagnosis, as well as the knowledge of the local antibiotic susceptibility patterns, is necessary if treatment interventions are to be considered. Our basic understanding of its epidemiology, pathogenesis, and antimicrobial drug resistance in strains of diarrheagenic E. coli isolated from children whether the strains were isolated from infants with diarrhea or controls without diarrhea.

The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence, antimicrobial drug susceptibility patterns, and association of these patterns with specific classes of diarrheagenic E. coli. Such information might be useful both in discouraging inappropriate use and guiding physicians to more appropriate choices when therapy is necessary. We focused on infants in the first year of life to determine whether resistant organisms were acquired very early in life.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was a prospective passive diarrhea surveillance cohort study of 1,034 infants in Peru followed-up from 2 to 12 months of age in low socioeconomic communities in the southern districts of Lima, Peru. A complete description of the patients and methods of the cohort diarrhea study is presented elsewhere (Ochoa TJ and others, unpublished data). Children were enrolled in the study after informed consent was obtained from the parents of the infants. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Review Board of the Instituto de Investigación Nutricional and Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Perú. Diarrheal episodes that required medical attention at our study clinic were evaluated. Treatment advice was provided to parents, including use of oral rehydration solution and antibiotics if needed, as well as advice regarding dietary management. Additionally, control stool samples were obtained monthly from 20–30 randomly selected healthy study children; these children had no diarrhea for at least seven days before and seven days after the stool sample collection. Children were followed-up at home one week later to confirm the absence of diarrhea after the control stool samples were taken.

Stool samples were analyzed for the presence of common enteric viruses, bacteria, and parasites by using conventional methods. Five lactose-fermenting colonies were selected from...
each MacConkey plate for analysis for the presence of the diarrheagenic E. coli. Detection was conducted by using a real-time multiplex polymerase chain reaction system\textsuperscript{13} with primers described in Table 1 and a PTC-200 thermal cycler with chrome 4 optical detector (MJ Research/Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Escherichia coli strains positive for any diarrheagenic E. coli gene were analyzed for their antimicrobial drug susceptibility by disk diffusion according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines.\textsuperscript{14} The antibiotics analyzed were ampicillin (10-μg disk), amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (30 μg-disk), azithromycin (15-μg disk), cefotaxim (30-μg disk), cefazidime (30-μg disk), chloramphenicol (30-μg disk), ciprofloxacin (5-μg disk), cotrimoxazole (23.75/1.25-μg disk), gentamicin (10-μg disk), nalidixic acid (30-μg disk), nitrofurantoin (300-μg disk), and tetracycline (30-μg disk).

The overall prevalence of each type of diarrheagenic E. coli in gastroenteritis and control stool samples, prevalence by age groups, and antibiotic resistance rates were compared. Statistical differences were evaluated by chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests.

RESULTS

The diarrhea surveillance study for antimicrobial drug susceptibility was conducted from September 2006 through May 2007. During this 8-month period, we studied 557 stool samples from children with diarrhea and 195 control samples from children without diarrhea. Some children had more than one episode of diarrhea requiring medical attention during the study period and some had none. The mean ± SD age of the children studied was 5.2 ± 1.8 months; the oldest child in this group was 10 months of age. The prevalence of the diarrheagenic E. coli was 29% (161 of 557) in the diarrhea group and 30% (58 of 195) in the control group. The prevalence of each diarrheagenic E. coli group in diarrhea and control samples was EAEC 14% (78 of 557) versus 18% (35 of 195), EPEC 7% (37 of 557) versus 7% (13 of 195), DAEC 4% (21 of 557) versus 3% (6 of 195), ETEC 4% (20 of 557) versus 3% (6 of 195), EIEC 7% (37 of 557) versus 7% (13 of 195), and STEC 1% (5 of 557) versus 0.5% (1 of 195). No EIEC strains were isolated. The prevalence of other enteric pathogens in diarrhea and control samples was Campylobacter spp. 14% (78 of 557) versus 8% (16 of 195), Salmonella spp. 0% versus 0.5% (1 of 195). Rotavirus was found in 7% (37 of 548) of diarrhea samples; control samples were not tested for rotavirus. There were no Shigella spp. or Vibrio cholerae isolated.

The inappropriate use of antibiotics during illnesses was common in this population. Children from the diarrhea group received more courses of antibiotics (for any illness) in the previous three months prior to the stool sample collection than children in the control group (1.0 ± 1.3 courses of antibiotics/child versus 0.6 ± 0.8, respectively, \( P = 0.023 \)). The most common antibiotics used were amoxicillin (31% versus 42%; \( P = 0.050 \)), macrolides (32% versus 19%; \( P = 0.032 \)), and cotrimoxazole (15% versus 23%; \( P = 0.076 \)) in the diarrhea and control groups, respectively (288 courses of antibiotics in the diarrhea group and 78 courses in the control group). Empiric antibiotic (selected by the parents without medical advice or prescribed by a physician) was used in 46% of diarrhea episodes in which a diarrheagenic E. coli was isolated. The most commonly used antibiotics for diarrhea episodes were macrolides 26% (33 of 129) (erythromycin 20%, azithromycin 6%), furazolidone 8% (10 of 129), amoxicillin 5% (7 of 129), and cotrimoxazole 2% (3 of 129).

Eighty percent of the diarrheagenic E. coli from diarrhea cases (129 of 161) and 98% of the control samples (57 of 58) were available for the antibiotic susceptibility studies. The diarrheagenic E. coli as a group were frequently resistant to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, tetracycline, nalidixic acid, and chloramphenicol (Table 2). All strains were fully susceptible to cefotaxim and cefazidime. There were no strains with high-level resistance to amoxicillin/clavulanic. However, 12% had intermediate resistance among diarrheal samples and 9% among controls. Similarly, 13% of strains showed intermediate resistance to nitrofurantoin among diarrheal samples and 2% in controls. Although azithromycin is commonly used as therapy for some enteric pathogens, there are no approved resistance criteria for disk-diffusion analysis of E. coli. The distribution of the growth inhibitory zones by disk diffusion in 167 diarrheagenic E. coli from diarrhea and control samples were 10% (17 strains) had azithromycin inhibitory diameter
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence (5′ → 3′)\textsuperscript{1}†</th>
<th>Size (basepairs)</th>
<th>Tm (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAEC</td>
<td>aggR</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CGAAAAAGAGATTTAATTTTTTTAAC</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>GCTTCTCTTCTTTTGTAT</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETEC</td>
<td>stla</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTTCCTTCCTTTTATCTGCAA</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>stlb</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>TGCTAAACCAGTAGGATCTTAAAAA</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>st</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>GCAGGATTACACAAACTGAAAAATCCAGCAG</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lt</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>TTCTCTAATGTTACACAGAAGCG</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPEC</td>
<td>eaeA</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>ATGGTATTAGTTGCTTGGTAG</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>GCCCTCATCATATTTCCAATTC</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEC§</td>
<td>stx1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CTGAGGATTTAATGTCGATAGTGG</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>AGAACCGCCCACTGAGATCATC</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIEC</td>
<td>ipaH</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>GTCTCTTGTCTGAAACTGCTCC</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>TCGCCGCGTTCACTGACATTGCT</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAEC</td>
<td>daaD</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TGAACGGGAGTATAAGGAGAGTG</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{Table 1} \text{ Primers for multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction for diarrheagenic Escherichia coli genes*} \)

* EAEC = enterohemorrhagic E. coli; F = forward; R = reverse; ETEC = enterotoxigenic E. coli; EPEC = enteropathogenic E. coli; STEC = Shiga toxin–producing E. coli; EIEC = enteroinvasive E. coli; DAEC = diffusely adherent E. coli.
† Primers described by Guion and others.\textsuperscript{13}
\(\text{Tm} = \text{melting temperature for each amplicon.} \)
zones less than 10 mm, 21% (35 strains) had diameters 11–15 mm, 33% (55 strains) had diameters 16–20 mm, and 36% (60 strains) had diameters more than 21 mm.

There was a higher frequency of resistance to all antibiotics in diarrheal samples than in controls; this difference was significant for ampicillin (85% versus 70%; \( P = 0.039 \)) and cotrimoxazole (79% versus 61%; \( P = 0.024 \)) (Figure 1). Multidrug resistance, defined as resistance to three or more antibiotics, was common in diarrheal (63%, 81 of 129) and control samples (53%, 29 of 57). There were 14 different antibiotic resistance patterns among the diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) (diarrhea and control strains grouped together) (Table 3). The most common resistance patterns were ampicillin-cotrimoxazole-tetracycline, present in 24% of all strains (41 of 168), and ampicillin-cotrimoxazole, present in 19% of strains (25 of 128).

Among the individual \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) groups, there was a striking difference in susceptibility between them. DAEC consistently exhibited more resistance to ampicillin, cotrimoxazole, tetracycline, and nalidix acid than EAEC, which was more resistant than EPEC, which was more resistant than ETEC. This trend was significant for all four antibiotics (Figure 2). Multiple resistance also differed strikingly with DAEC and EAEC much more resistant (Table 3) than the other categories of \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \). Resistant to three or more agents was DAEC (100%), EAEC (74%), EPEC (44%), and ETEC (39%) (\( P = 0.0001 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

For many episodes of infectious diarrhea, only fluid and electrolyte management is appropriate. However, antimicrobial drug therapy is indicated for children and adults with acute infectious gastroenteritis in a variety of circumstances.\(^{15}\) These indications may include dysentery, severe or prolonged disease, eradication of fecal shedding and transmission, and prevention of sequelae and death.\(^{15}\) However, antimicrobial agents do not benefit most children with acute diarrhea because viral enteropathogens are so common. Thus, in children with acute gastroenteritis, use of specific antimicrobial drugs should be limited to well-defined bacterial and protozoal agents. Among the diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \), there is an established benefit for the use of antibiotics for ETEC, EIEC and EAEC infections; these conclusions are based primarily on data in traveler’s diarrhea.\(^{16}\) However, the role of antibiotic therapy in children with acute diarrhea caused by a diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) is not fully defined.\(^{17}\) This finding is caused primarily by the lack of rapid diagnostic tests available at the outpatient or hospital settings.\(^{18}\) The time frame in which treatment choices must be made is short. Prior to the development of the multiplex real time polymerase chain reaction, there was no rapid, sensitive, and inexpensive diagnostic technique for determining presence of a diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) in the community setting. Now that such rapid assays are available in research laboratories, local epidemiologic studies on etiology and antimicrobial drug susceptibility are relevant.

Diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) were the most commonly isolated pathogens in infants in Peru with diarrhea. This finding is similar to those of studies from other developing countries, where the diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) as a group are responsible for 30–40% of acute diarrhea episodes in children.\(^{19}\) However, in this study, the diarrheagenic \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) were found at similar frequencies in children with and without diarrhea, demonstrating that infants in this setting where breastfeeding is universal are frequently exposed to these bacteria. This study clearly demonstrates that multiply resistant organisms are acquired very early in life.

These organisms are exposed to antibiotic pressure when both enteric and non-enteric infections occur. In this study, antimicrobial drug resistance in \( E. \) \( \text{coli} \) was associated with a high frequency of antibiotic use for diarrhea (46%) and with a higher frequency of previous antibiotic exposure for non-enteric infections. Recent antibiotic use, particularly one month before exposure, is a risk factor for developing infection or colonization with resistant bacterial pathogens.\(^{19,20}\)

Excessive and inappropriate use of antimicrobial drugs for
proven or presumed infections in many organ systems, including the gastrointestinal tract, is a common problem. This use occurs in part because of inappropriate prescribing practices and in part because of misguided beliefs and expectations of parents who often lack an awareness of the dangers of antimicrobial drug use. 19 In Peru, as in many other developing countries, antimicrobial drugs are sold over the counter without a prescription. Thus, unrestricted access to antimicrobials coupled with lack of understanding regarding their use are major factors driving multiresistance. 21–23

The problem of antimicrobial drug resistance is not unique to Peru. It is particularly critical in many developing countries where frequent illnesses coupled with ready access to unregulated antibiotics diminishes the value of these agents for those patients who actually need them. We found a high frequency of antimicrobial resistance of diarrheagenic *E. coli* to commonly used antibiotics such as ampicillin (85%) and cotrimoxazole (79%). This finding is similar to what has been recently described in children from Vietnam (86% and 88%, respectively), 10 Tanzania (85% and 87%), 8 México (73% and 65%), 11 Argentina (75% and 64%) 22 and Mozambique (72% and 58%). 23 Of interest, ampicillin or cotrimoxazole are not appropriate empiric therapy for common enteric bacteria such as *Shigella* spp. and *Salmonella* spp. because of the high frequency of resistance in many areas of the world. 14 The growing problem of multidrug-resistant enteric pathogens is especially common in Africa and Asia. 26–28

Resistance patterns were strikingly different among individual *E. coli* groups. DAEC and EAEC had higher resistance levels than EPEC and ETEC. This finding is likely to result from several factors. It is possible that DAEC and EAEC are more resistant because they are exposed to antimicrobial drugs more often, which may be because they cause persistent diarrhea and/or are often carried asymptotically. Thus, the long time within human hosts increases the chance that they will be exposed to antimicrobial drugs and/or acquire resistant genes from the resident flora. These data could also reflect an association of resistance genes with plasmid-associated virulence genes, such as adherence factors present in DAEC and EAEC. 34,35 An antibiotic resistance operon (mar) has been reported for EPEC. 36 The Mar phenotype includes resistance to structurally unrelated antibiotics such as tetracycline, chloramphenicol, β-lactams, fluoroquinolones, puromycin, nalidixic acid, rifampicin, and others. 33 Integron-associated antibiotic resistance has been described for IEIEC, EAEC, and cell-detaching *E. coli*, 34,35 and a conjugative multi-resistance plasmid has been reported for EPEC. 36

In summary, diarrheagenic *E. coli* are the most common isolated pathogens in infants in Peru with diarrhea and in asymptomatic controls, which reflects the high and early exposure to pathogens in this setting. Diarrheagenic *E. coli* were associated with a high frequency of antibiotic resistance, with different resistance patterns among the individual *E. coli* groups. Overall, resistance to ampicillin and cotrimoxazole were so common that both drugs should not be used empirically for the treatment of invasive or persistent bacterial gastroenteritis.
in children from Lima. Antimicrobial drug resistance was more frequent in *E. coli* isolated from diarrheal samples than from controls and associated with more antibiotic exposure in patients with gastroenteritis. The impact of unsupervised antibiotic use is clear. Inappropriate antibiotics are expensive, potentially toxic, used with dubious indication that is unlikely to result in benefit to the recipient, and select organisms that are multiply resistant so that the usefulness of the agents is limited when legitimate medical indications exist. It is imperative to implement strategies to prevent and control the emergence and spread of resistant organisms by improving diagnosis and reducing the selective pressure caused by overuse and misuse of antibiotics in children.
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